

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/054,490 01/22/2002		01/22/2002	Walter Prikoszovich	100-7664F/C5	6537
1095	7590 03/15/2006			EXAMINER	
NOVARTI	~		WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
CORPORAT		LECTUAL PROPER A 104/3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST HAN	OVER, N	J 07936-1080	1616		

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			054,490	PRIKOSZOVICH	, WALTER				
			miner	Art Unit					
		Edw	ard J. Webman	1616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears o	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months affed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE C f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. utory period will apply rill, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUNION no event, however, may a read will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company o					
Status									
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 20 Decemi	her 2005						
, —	•	b) This action							
′—		,—		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
٠,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi		•	• •	·					
-	Disposition of Claims AND Claim(a) 53 55 59 60 64 66 69 and 74 93 in/ore pending in the application								
-	Claim(s) <u>53,55-58,60,64,66-68 and 74-82</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>55 and 57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· —	5)								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or elect	tion requirement.						
·	on Papers		·						
	-	Formings							
•	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		or h) abjected to	by the Evaminer					
10)[Applicant may not request that any object	,	· · · · · ·	· -					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1 121(d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	. ,							
-	-	or forgian priori	hunder 25 II S.C. S	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)	or loreign prion	ty under 55 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(u) or (i).					
م)ر	·	locuments have	e been received						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				l Stage				
	application from the Internation	• •			J				
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action	•		received.					
			·						
		•							
Attachmen			∧ □	D					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 53, 56, 58, 60, 64, 66-68, 74-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodmer et al (US 5,538,739) in view of GB 2,145,422 and Reiners et al (US 4,879,402).

Bodmer et al teach a matrix comprising octreotide (abstract). Linear polylactide –coglycolides of MW 25K-100K and a polydispersity of 1.2-2 are disclosed (column 8 lines 1-4). Star polymers are specified (column 8 line 35). Esters with glucose are disclosed (abstract). Lactide glycolide ratios of 60:40-50:60 are specified (column 8 lines 14-20). A method of making using that of GB 2,145,422 is specified (example 2). However, Bodmer et al do not teach removal of Sn octoate.

GB '422 teaches a method of making the polymer wherein the catalyst Sn octoate is preferred (page 2 line 41). Purification in the conventional manner is disclosed (page 2 line 47).

Reiners et al teach making a polymer using tin octoate (column 18 example 5). Filtration ver active charcoal to obtain a colorless product is disclosed (column 18 lines 44-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to purify the polymer of Bodmer et al using activated charcoal in view of the teaching in GB '422 to use a

Art Unit: 1616

conventional purification technique and further in view of the teaching in Reiners et al that, in a method of making a polymer using Sn octoate, the beneficial effect of purification to clarity is achieved using activated charcoal.

As to the particular degree of purification, an optimal such degree can be achieved by routine experimentation, especially in view of the Reiners et al teaching of purification to clarity.

Applicants argue that purification to clarity taught in Reiners et al does not indicate removal of tin, that the brown color removed mostly concerns degradation product, and that the reference is silent regarding removing tin and final tin content. However, GB '422 teaches purification using conventional means as well as a tin octoate catalyst. Reiners et al also teaches a tin catalyst and additionally use of charcoal. Clearly, the goal of purification after the making of a polymer is to remove all impurities, including the catalyst. Given the teaching of GB 422 one of ordinary skill would look to Reiners et al for a specific teaching of a means of purification. Applicant is arguing the references separately, rather than as a combination. Further, one of ordinary skill would recognize that charcoal will bind to the long octanoate chain, removing the tin counter ion. Applicants' assertion to the contrary is mere opinion. As to the date of issue of the prior art, one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made will have access to all the references; that is, rendering the date of issue of each of no import.

No claims allowed.

Art Unit: 1616

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Art Unit: 1616

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500